

From: [BIDDLECOM Cara M](#)
To: [Bangsberg David](#)
Cc: [CHETOCK Tara A](#); [JAREM Stephanie](#)
Subject: OHPB follow up
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 5:24:00 AM

David,

In follow up to our conversation last week, I spoke to some of my team members and they are available to meet with you to discuss the technical details of the SB 283 wireless technology report next week at any of these times:

Wed 7/28, 9:30-10a, 10:30a-1p

Th 7/29, 8a-9a

Fri 7/30, 11a-12p, 4-5p

We also drafted the statement below for you and/or Jonathan Modie, our Public Information Officer, to read during the meeting. We can make any adjustments and discuss this with you when we meet.

OHA STATEMENT

The Oregon Health Policy Board (OHPB) values community engagement and welcomes public comment at its board meetings and on its agenda items. Thank you to our members of the public for engaging in our meetings through written and verbal public comment.

Oregon Health Authority recognizes that radiation exposure can come from a variety of sources, including X-ray equipment, radioactive materials, tanning beds and other radiation-generating devices. As such, OHA, through its Radiation Protection Services Section, promotes the health and safety of the people in Oregon by working to protect them from unnecessary exposure to radiation.

Senate Bill (SB) 283, in identifying exposure from the use of wireless network technologies in schools or similar environments as a primary concern, directed OHA to evaluate peer-reviewed, independently-funded scientific studies of the

health effects of exposure to microwave radiation, also known as radiofrequency radiation, or RFR.

As stated in the report, OHA found insufficient evidence to indicate a causal relationship between cell phone exposures and cancer, as well as noncancer health effects and functions, such as auditory function, cognitive function, nervous system, miscarriage, reproductive system, sleep, and mental health. In fact, most studies found no association between long-term use and these health effects and functions.

These conclusions on RFR exposures and effects on human health are in line with those made by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the National Cancer Institute and other agencies that work to protect population health. Similar to those federal public health agencies, OHA does not regulate cell phone or wi-fi technologies.

Finally, SB 283 directed OHA to concentrate on the review of health effects in school or similar environments, but most of the studies available for review were largely unrelated to school settings. Nonetheless, OHA, in its analysis, included studies that overlap those expected in a school setting, with many estimating higher exposures.

OHA remains committed to ensuring the health of children and families and will keep apprised of future research coming from reputable sources.

For more information, you can view OHA's [Wireless technology health risks report](#), the report [FAQ](#), and other resources on [wireless technology and health](#).

Best,
Cara

From: [David Bangsberg](#)
To: [CHETOCK Tara A](#); [BIDDLECOM Cara M](#)
Cc: [JAREM Stephanie](#); [Oscar Arana](#); [Vandehey Jeremy](#); [de Jung Trilby](#); [Holly Moraes](#)
Subject: Re: Re: OHPB follow up
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 7:38:14 AM

Think twice before clicking on links or opening attachments. This email came from outside our organization and might not be safe. If you are not expecting an attachment, contact the sender before opening it.

Hi Cara,
Thanks for the follow-up and making the time to discuss. The best times for me below are
7/28 10:30-11:30
7/29 8-9am
Best,
David

David Bangsberg, MD, MPH
Founding Dean
Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health
Mailcode VPT
3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road | Portland OR 97239
5034079896 | Email: bangsber@ohsu.edu
Skype: bangsberg | Twitter: @DavidBangsberg
Gender pronouns: he, his, him

From: CHETOCK Tara A <Tara.A.CHETOCK@dhsosha.state.or.us>
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 at 6:34 AM
To: BIDDLECOM Cara M <Cara.M.BIDDLECOM@dhsosha.state.or.us>
Cc: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>, JAREM Stephanie <STEPHANIE.JAREM@dhsosha.state.or.us>, Oscar Arana <OscarA@nayapdx.org>, Vandehey Jeremy <JEREMY.VANDEHEY@dhsosha.state.or.us>, de Jung Trilby <TRILBY.DEJUNG@dhsosha.state.or.us>, Holly Moraes <moraes@ohsu.edu>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: OHPB follow up

Thanks for following up, Cara.

(Adding Oscar Arana Vice Chair + Trilby and Jeremy for awareness. Also adding Holly Moraes who manages David's calendar to help with availability - note she's out this week)

Tara

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 22, 2021, at 5:24 AM, BIDDLECOM Cara M
<Cara.M.BIDDLECOM@dhsosha.state.or.us> wrote:

David,

In follow up to our conversation last week, I spoke to some of my team members and they are available to meet with you to discuss the technical details of the SB 283 wireless technology report next week at any of these times:

Wed 7/28, 9:30-10a, 10:30a-1p

Th 7/29, 8a-9a

Fri 7/30, 11a-12p, 4-5p

We also drafted the statement below for you and/or Jonathan Modie, our Public Information Officer, to read during the meeting. We can make any adjustments and discuss this with you when we meet.

OHA STATEMENT

The Oregon Health Policy Board (OHPB) values community engagement and welcomes public comment at its board meetings and on its agenda items. Thank you to our members of the public for engaging in our meetings through written and verbal public comment.

Oregon Health Authority recognizes that radiation exposure can come from a variety of courses, including X-ray equipment, radioactive materials, tanning beds and other radiation-generating devices. As such, OHA, through its Radiation Protection Services Section, promotes the health and safety of the people in Oregon by working to protect them from unnecessary exposure to radiation.

Senate Bill (SB) 283, in identifying exposure from the use of wireless network technologies in schools or similar environments as a primary concern, directed OHA to evaluate peer-reviewed, independently-funded scientific studies of the health effects of exposure to microwave radiation, also known as radiofrequency radiation, or RFR.

As stated in the report, OHA found insufficient evidence to indicate a causal relationship between cell phone exposures and cancer, as well as noncancer health effects and functions, such as auditory function, cognitive function, nervous system, miscarriage, reproductive system, sleep, and mental health. In fact, most studies found no association between long-term use and these health effects and functions.

These conclusions on RFR exposures and effects on human health are in line with those made by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the National Cancer Institute and other agencies that work to protect population health. Similar to those federal public health agencies, OHA does not regulate cell phone or wi-fi technologies.

Finally, SB 283 directed OHA to concentrate on the review of health effects in school or similar environments, but most of the studies available for review were largely unrelated to school settings. Nonetheless, OHA, in its analysis, included studies that overlap those expected in a school setting, with many estimating higher exposures.

OHA remains committed to ensuring the health of children and families and will keep apprised of future research coming from reputable sources.

For more information, you can view OHA's [Wireless technology health risks report](#), the report [FAQ](#), and other resources on [wireless technology and health](#).

Best,

Cara

From: [BIDDLECOM Cara M](#)
To: [David Bangsberg](#); [CHETOCK Tara A](#)
Cc: [JAREM Stephanie](#); [Oscar Arana](#); [Vandehey Jeremy](#); [de Jung Trilby](#); [Holly Moraes](#)
Subject: RE: Re: OHPB follow up
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 9:25:00 AM

Thank you, David. I just sent the meeting for 10:30 on July 28 with our content experts. Please let me know if there are others who would like the appointment.

Cara

From: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>
Sent: Thursday, July 22, 2021 7:38 AM
To: CHETOCK Tara A <Tara.A.CHETOCK@dhsosha.state.or.us>; BIDDLECOM Cara M <Cara.M.BIDDLECOM@dhsosha.state.or.us>
Cc: JAREM Stephanie <STEPHANIE.JAREM@dhsosha.state.or.us>; Oscar Arana <OscarA@nayapdx.org>; Vandehey Jeremy <JEREMY.VANDEHEY@dhsosha.state.or.us>; de Jung Trilby <TRILBY.DEJUNG@dhsosha.state.or.us>; Holly Moraes <moraes@ohsu.edu>
Subject: Re: Re: OHPB follow up

Think twice before clicking on links or opening attachments. This email came from outside our organization and might not be safe. If you are not expecting an attachment, contact the sender before opening it.

Hi Cara,
Thanks for the follow-up and making the time to discuss. The best times for me below are
7/28 10:30-11:30
7/29 8-9am
Best,
David

David Bangsberg, MD, MPH
Founding Dean
Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health
Mailcode VPT
3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road | Portland OR 97239
5034079896 | Email: bangsber@ohsu.edu
Skype: bangsberg | Twitter: @DavidBangsberg
Gender pronouns: he, his, him

From: CHETOCK Tara A <Tara.A.CHETOCK@dhsola.state.or.us>
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 at 6:34 AM
To: BIDDLECOM Cara M <Cara.M.BIDDLECOM@dhsola.state.or.us>
Cc: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>, JAREM Stephanie <STEPHANIE.JAREM@dhsola.state.or.us>, Oscar Arana <OscarA@nayapdx.org>, Vandehey Jeremy <JEREMY.VANDEHEY@dhsola.state.or.us>, de Jung Trilby <TRILBY.DEJUNG@dhsola.state.or.us>, Holly Moraes <moraes@ohsu.edu>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: OHPB follow up

Thanks for following up, Cara.

(Adding Oscar Arana Vice Chair + Trilby and Jeremy for awareness. Also adding Holly Moraes who manages David's calendar to help with availability - note she's out this week)

Tara

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 22, 2021, at 5:24 AM, BIDDLECOM Cara M <Cara.M.BIDDLECOM@dhsola.state.or.us> wrote:

David,

In follow up to our conversation last week, I spoke to some of my team members and they are available to meet with you to discuss the technical details of the SB 283 wireless technology report next week at any of these times:

Wed 7/28, 9:30-10a, 10:30a-1p

Th 7/29, 8a-9a

Fri 7/30, 11a-12p, 4-5p

We also drafted the statement below for you and/or Jonathan Modie, our Public Information Officer, to read during the meeting. We can make any adjustments and discuss this with you when we meet.

OHA STATEMENT

The Oregon Health Policy Board (OHPB) values community engagement and welcomes public comment at its board meetings and on its agenda items. Thank you to our members of the public for engaging in our meetings through written and verbal public comment.

Oregon Health Authority recognizes that radiation exposure can come from a variety of courses, including X-ray equipment, radioactive materials, tanning beds and other radiation-generating devices. As such, OHA, through its Radiation Protection Services Section, promotes the health and safety of the people in Oregon by working to protect them from unnecessary exposure to radiation.

Senate Bill (SB) 283, in identifying exposure from the use of wireless network technologies in schools or similar environments as a primary concern, directed OHA to evaluate peer-reviewed, independently-funded scientific studies of the health effects of exposure to microwave radiation, also known as radiofrequency radiation, or RFR.

As stated in the report, OHA found insufficient evidence to indicate a causal relationship between cell phone exposures and cancer, as well as noncancer health effects and functions, such as auditory function, cognitive function, nervous system, miscarriage, reproductive system, sleep, and mental health. In fact, most studies found no association between long-term use and these health effects and functions.

These conclusions on RFR exposures and effects on human health are in line with those made by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the National Cancer Institute and other agencies that work to protect population health. Similar to those federal public health agencies, OHA does not regulate cell phone or wi-fi technologies.

Finally, SB 283 directed OHA to concentrate on the review of health effects in school or similar environments, but most of the studies

available for review were largely unrelated to school settings. Nonetheless, OHA, in its analysis, included studies that overlap those expected in a school setting, with many estimating higher exposures.

OHA remains committed to ensuring the health of children and families and will keep apprised of future research coming from reputable sources.

For more information, you can view OHA's [Wireless technology health risks report](#), the report [FAQ](#), and other resources on [wireless technology and health](#).

Best,
Cara

From: [Hamade Ali K](#)
To: [Bangsberg David](#)
Cc: [CHETOCK Tara A](#); [BIDDLECOM Cara M](#); [Modie Jonathan N](#); [Ourso Andre](#)
Subject: National Toxicology Program animal study
Date: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 11:24:26 AM

Hi David,

Many thanks for your energy and thoughtfulness on this.

Here is the National Toxicology Program (NTP) study summary

https://www.niehs.nih.gov/health/materials/cell_phone_radiofrequency_radiation_studies_508.pdf

Also, links to the actual NTP reports

<https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/events/panels/tr/2018/03/materials.html>

There is a super brief summary of some of the NTP study findings in our FAQs #2 and under

Additional Resources - National Toxicology Program subheading

https://www.oregon.gov/oha/PH/HEALTHYENVIRONMENTS/RADIATIONPROTECTION/Documents/SB_283_FAQ.pdf

There is more information in the FAQs if you get a chance to look.

Best regards,

Ali

From: [David Bangsberg](#)
To: [Hamade Ali K](#)
Cc: [CHETOCK Tara A](#); [BIDDLECOM Cara M](#); [Modie Jonathan N](#); [Ourso Andre](#)
Subject: Re: National Toxicology Program animal study
Date: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 2:21:42 PM

Think twice before clicking on links or opening attachments. This email came from outside our organization and might not be safe. If you are not expecting an attachment, contact the sender before opening it.

Thanks Ali,

I started a first draft base on our discussion. Please edit and comment. Also feel free to ring me at 5034079896 is you want to discuss by phone.

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1Ycm6WEgpJGJKg5ad02aTu1XXajHX9SaxoKX9KuBXqeQ/edit?usp=sharing>

Best,

David

David Bangsberg, MD, MPH

Founding Dean

Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health

Mailcode VPT

3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road | Portland OR 97239

5034079896 | Email: bangsber@ohsu.edu

Skype: bangsberg | Twitter: @DavidBangsberg

Gender pronouns: he, his, him

From: Hamade Ali K <ALI.K.HAMADE@dhsaha.state.or.us>

Date: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 at 11:24 AM

To: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>

Cc: CHETOCK Tara A <Tara.A.CHETOCK@dhsaha.state.or.us>, BIDDLECOM Cara M <Cara.M.BIDDLECOM@dhsaha.state.or.us>, Modie Jonathan N <JONATHAN.N.MODIE@dhsaha.state.or.us>, Ourso Andre <ANDRE.OURSO@dhsaha.state.or.us>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] National Toxicology Program animal study

Hi David,

Many thanks for your energy and thoughtfulness on this.

Here is the National Toxicology Program (NTP) study summary

https://www.niehs.nih.gov/health/materials/cell_phone_radiofrequency_radiation_studies_508.pdf

Also, links to the actual NTP reports

<https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/events/panels/tr/2018/03/materials.html>

There is a super brief summary of some of the NTP study findings in our FAQs #2 and under Additional Resources - National Toxicology Program subheading

https://www.oregon.gov/oha/PH/HEALTHYENVIRONMENTS/RADIATIONPROTECTION/Documents/SB_283_FAQ.pdf

There is more information in the FAQs if you get a chance to look.

Best regards,

Ali

From: [Hamade Ali K](#)
To: [David Bangsberg](#)
Subject: RE: National Toxicology Program animal study
Date: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 2:23:00 PM

Thanks, David. I can call after a call I am on. I will finish at 4 if you are available then.

From: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>
Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 2:21 PM
To: Hamade Ali K <ALI.K.HAMADE@dhsaha.state.or.us>
Cc: CHETOCK Tara A <Tara.A.CHETOCK@dhsaha.state.or.us>; BIDDLECOM Cara M <Cara.M.BIDDLECOM@dhsaha.state.or.us>; Modie Jonathan N <JONATHAN.N.MODIE@dhsaha.state.or.us>; Ourso Andre <ANDRE.OURSO@dhsaha.state.or.us>
Subject: Re: National Toxicology Program animal study

Think twice before clicking on links or opening attachments. This email came from outside our organization and might not be safe. If you are not expecting an attachment, contact the sender before opening it.

Thanks Ali,

I started a first draft base on our discussion. Please edit and comment. Also feel free to ring me at 5034079896 is you want to discuss by phone.

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1Ycm6WEgpJGJKg5ad02aTu1XXajHX9SaxoKX9KuBXqeQ/edit?usp=sharing>

Best,

David

David Bangsberg, MD, MPH
Founding Dean
Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health

Mailcode VPT

3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road | Portland OR 97239

5034079896 | Email: bangsber@ohsu.edu

Skype: bangsberg | Twitter: @DavidBangsberg

Gender pronouns: he, his, him

From: Hamade Ali K <ALI.K.HAMADE@dhsaha.state.or.us>
Date: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 at 11:24 AM
To: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>
Cc: CHETOCK Tara A <Tara.A.CHETOCK@dhsaha.state.or.us>, BIDDLECOM Cara M

<Cara.M.BIDDLECOM@dhsosha.state.or.us>, Modie Jonathan N
<JONATHAN.N.MODIE@dhsosha.state.or.us>, Ourso Andre
<ANDRE.OURSO@dhsosha.state.or.us>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] National Toxicology Program animal study

Hi David,

Many thanks for your energy and thoughtfulness on this.

Here is the National Toxicology Program (NTP) study summary

https://www.niehs.nih.gov/health/materials/cell_phone_radiofrequency_radiation_studies_508.pdf

Also, links to the actual NTP reports

<https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/events/panels/tr/2018/03/materials.html>

There is a super brief summary of some of the NTP study findings in our FAQs #2 and under
Additional Resources - National Toxicology Program subheading

https://www.oregon.gov/oha/PH/HEALTHYENVIRONMENTS/RADIATIONPROTECTION/Documents/SB_283_FAQ.pdf

There is more information in the FAQs if you get a chance to look.

Best regards,

Ali

From: [David Bangsberg](#)
To: [Hamade Ali K](#)
Subject: Re: RE: National Toxicology Program animal study
Date: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 2:24:29 PM

Think twice before clicking on links or opening attachments. This email came from outside our organization and might not be safe. If you are not expecting an attachment, contact the sender before opening it.

I am free at 4.

David Bangsberg, MD, MPH
Founding Dean
Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health
Mailcode VPT
3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road | Portland OR 97239
5034079896 | Email: bangsber@ohsu.edu
Skype: bangsberg | Twitter: @DavidBangsberg
Gender pronouns: he, his, him

From: Hamade Ali K <ALI.K.HAMADE@dhsosha.state.or.us>
Date: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 at 2:23 PM
To: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: National Toxicology Program animal study

Thanks, David. I can call after a call I am on. I will finish at 4 if you are available then.

From: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>
Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 2:21 PM
To: Hamade Ali K <ALI.K.HAMADE@dhsosha.state.or.us>
Cc: CHETOCK Tara A <Tara.A.CHETOCK@dhsosha.state.or.us>; BIDDLECOM Cara M <Cara.M.BIDDLECOM@dhsosha.state.or.us>; Modie Jonathan N <JONATHAN.N.MODIE@dhsosha.state.or.us>; Ourso Andre <ANDRE.OURSO@dhsosha.state.or.us>
Subject: Re: National Toxicology Program animal study

Think twice before clicking on links or opening attachments. This email came from outside our organization and might not be safe. If you are not expecting an attachment, contact the sender before opening it.

Thanks Ali,

I started a first draft base on our discussion. Please edit and comment. Also feel free to ring me at 5034079896 is you want to discuss by phone.

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1YCm6WEgpJGJKg5ad02aTu1XXajHX9SaxoKX9KuBXqeQ/edit?usp=sharing>

Best,

David

David Bangsberg, MD, MPH

Founding Dean

Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health

Mailcode VPT

3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road | Portland OR 97239

5034079896 | Email: bangsber@ohsu.edu

Skype: bangsberg | Twitter: @DavidBangsberg

Gender pronouns: he, his, him

From: Hamade Ali K <ALI.K.HAMADE@dhsoha.state.or.us>

Date: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 at 11:24 AM

To: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>

Cc: CHETOCK Tara A <Tara.A.CHETOCK@dhsoha.state.or.us>, BIDDLECOM Cara M <Cara.M.BIDDLECOM@dhsoha.state.or.us>, Modie Jonathan N <JONATHAN.N.MODIE@dhsoha.state.or.us>, Ourso Andre <ANDRE.OURSO@dhsoha.state.or.us>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] National Toxicology Program animal study

Hi David,

Many thanks for your energy and thoughtfulness on this.

Here is the National Toxicology Program (NTP) study summary

https://www.niehs.nih.gov/health/materials/cell_phone_radiofrequency_radiation_studies_508.pdf

Also, links to the actual NTP reports

<https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/events/panels/tr/2018/03/materials.html>

There is a super brief summary of some of the NTP study findings in our FAQs #2 and under Additional Resources - National Toxicology Program subheading

https://www.oregon.gov/oha/PH/HEALTHYENVIRONMENTS/RADIATIONPROTECTION/Documents/SB_283_FAQ.pdf

There is more information in the FAQs if you get a chance to look.

Best regards,

Ali

From: [Hamade Ali K](#)
To: [Bangsberg David](#)
Cc: [Ourso Andre](#); [BIDDLECOM Cara M](#); [Modie Jonathan N](#)
Subject: RE: RE: National Toxicology Program animal study
Date: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 2:31:10 PM

Great. thanks!

From: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>
Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 2:24 PM
To: Hamade Ali K <ALI.K.HAMADE@dhsosha.state.or.us>
Subject: Re: RE: National Toxicology Program animal study

Think twice before clicking on links or opening attachments. This email came from outside our organization and might not be safe. If you are not expecting an attachment, contact the sender before opening it.

I am free at 4.

David Bangsberg, MD, MPH
Founding Dean
Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health
Mailcode VPT
3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road | Portland OR 97239
5034079896 | Email: bangsber@ohsu.edu
Skype: bangsberg | Twitter: @DavidBangsberg
Gender pronouns: he, his, him

From: Hamade Ali K <ALI.K.HAMADE@dhsosha.state.or.us>
Date: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 at 2:23 PM
To: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: National Toxicology Program animal study

Thanks, David. I can call after a call I am on. I will finish at 4 if you are available then.

From: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>
Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 2:21 PM
To: Hamade Ali K <ALI.K.HAMADE@dhsosha.state.or.us>

Cc: CHETOCK Tara A <Tara.A.CHETOCK@dhsoha.state.or.us>; BIDDLECOM Cara M <Cara.M.BIDDLECOM@dhsoha.state.or.us>; Modie Jonathan N <JONATHAN.N.MODIE@dhsoha.state.or.us>; Ourso Andre <ANDRE.OURSO@dhsoha.state.or.us>
Subject: Re: National Toxicology Program animal study

Think twice before clicking on links or opening attachments. This email came from outside our organization and might not be safe. If you are not expecting an attachment, contact the sender before opening it.

Thanks Ali,

I started a first draft base on our discussion. Please edit and comment. Also feel free to ring me at 5034079896 is you want to discuss by phone.

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1Ycm6WEgpJGJKg5ad02aTu1XXajHX9SaxoKX9KuBXqeQ/edit?usp=sharing>

Best,

David

David Bangsberg, MD, MPH

Founding Dean

Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health

Mailcode VPT

3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road | Portland OR 97239

5034079896 | Email: bangsber@ohsu.edu

Skype: bangsberg | Twitter: @DavidBangsberg

Gender pronouns: he, his, him

From: Hamade Ali K <ALI.K.HAMADE@dhsoha.state.or.us>

Date: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 at 11:24 AM

To: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>

Cc: CHETOCK Tara A <Tara.A.CHETOCK@dhsoha.state.or.us>, BIDDLECOM Cara M

<Cara.M.BIDDLECOM@dhsoha.state.or.us>, Modie Jonathan N

<JONATHAN.N.MODIE@dhsoha.state.or.us>, Ourso Andre

<ANDRE.OURSO@dhsoha.state.or.us>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] National Toxicology Program animal study

Hi David,

Many thanks for your energy and thoughtfulness on this.

Here is the National Toxicology Program (NTP) study summary

https://www.niehs.nih.gov/health/materials/cell_phone_radiofrequency_radiation_studies_508.pdf

Also, links to the actual NTP reports

<https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/events/panels/tr/2018/03/materials.html>

There is a super brief summary of some of the NTP study findings in our FAQs #2 and under Additional Resources - National Toxicology Program subheading

https://www.oregon.gov/oha/PH/HEALTHYENVIRONMENTS/RADIATIONPROTECTION/Documents/SB_283_FAQ.pdf

There is more information in the FAQs if you get a chance to look.

Best regards,

Ali

From: [BIDDLECOM Cara M](#)
To: [CHETOCK Tara A](#); [Bangsberg David](#); [Hamade Ali K](#); [Ourso Andre](#); [Modie Jonathan N](#)
Subject: RE: OHA statement for OHPB
Date: Friday, July 30, 2021 2:25:43 PM

Hi Tara,

I do not have anything else to add. Thank you, everyone.

Cara

From: CHETOCK Tara A <Tara.A.CHETOCK@dhsosha.state.or.us>
Sent: Friday, July 30, 2021 2:12 PM
To: Bangsberg David <bangsber@ohsu.edu>; BIDDLECOM Cara M <Cara.M.BIDDLECOM@dhsosha.state.or.us>; Hamade Ali K <ALI.K.HAMADE@dhsosha.state.or.us>; Ourso Andre <ANDRE.OURSO@dhsosha.state.or.us>; Modie Jonathan N <JONATHAN.N.MODIE@dhsosha.state.or.us>
Subject: OHA statement for OHPB

Thanks David for getting this started. I see that Ali has made edits - thanks Ali!

Andre, Jonathan and Cara - are there additional edits or considerations to include?

Tara

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2021, at 2:21 PM, David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu> wrote:

Think twice before clicking on links or opening attachments. This email came from outside our organization and might not be safe. If you are not expecting an attachment, contact the sender before opening it.

Thanks Ali,

I started a first draft base on our discussion. Please edit and comment. Also feel free to ring me at 5034079896 is you want to discuss by phone.

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1YCm6WEgpJGJKg5ad02aTu1XXajHX9SaxoKX9KuBXqeQ/edit?usp=sharing>

Best,

David

David Bangsberg, MD, MPH
Founding Dean
Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health
Mailcode VPT
3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road | Portland OR 97239
5034079896 | Email: bangsber@ohsu.edu
Skype: bangsberg | Twitter: @DavidBangsberg
Gender pronouns: he, his, him

From: Hamade Ali K <ALI.K.HAMADE@dhsoha.state.or.us>
Date: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 at 11:24 AM
To: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>
Cc: CHETOCK Tara A <Tara.A.CHETOCK@dhsoha.state.or.us>, BIDDLECOM Cara M <Cara.M.BIDDLECOM@dhsoha.state.or.us>, Modie Jonathan N <JONATHAN.N.MODIE@dhsoha.state.or.us>, Ourso Andre <ANDRE.OURSO@dhsoha.state.or.us>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] National Toxicology Program animal study

Hi David,

Many thanks for your energy and thoughtfulness on this.

Here is the National Toxicology Program (NTP) study summary
https://www.niehs.nih.gov/health/materials/cell_phone_radiofrequency_radiation_studies_508.pdf

Also, links to the actual NTP reports
<https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/events/panels/tr/2018/03/materials.html>

There is a super brief summary of some of the NTP study findings in our FAQs #2 and under Additional Resources - National Toxicology Program subheading
https://www.oregon.gov/oha/PH/HEALTHYENVIRONMENTS/RADIATIONPROTECTION/Documents/SB_283_FAQ.pdf

There is more information in the FAQs if you get a chance to look.

Best regards,

Ali

From: [Ourso Andre](#)
To: [BIDDLECOM Cara M](#); [CHETOCK Tara A](#); [Bangsberg David](#); [Hamade Ali K](#); [Modie Jonathan N](#)
Subject: RE: OHA statement for OHPB
Date: Friday, July 30, 2021 4:40:55 PM

The statement looks good to me. Thank you.

Andre Ourso
Administrator,
Center for Health Protection,
Public Health Division,
Oregon Health Authority

cell: 971-325-5370

From: BIDDLECOM Cara M <Cara.M.BIDDLECOM@dhsosha.state.or.us>
Sent: Friday, July 30, 2021 2:26 PM
To: CHETOCK Tara A <Tara.A.CHETOCK@dhsosha.state.or.us>; Bangsberg David <bangsber@ohsu.edu>; Hamade Ali K <ALI.K.HAMADE@dhsosha.state.or.us>; Ourso Andre <ANDRE.OURSO@dhsosha.state.or.us>; Modie Jonathan N <JONATHAN.N.MODIE@dhsosha.state.or.us>
Subject: RE: OHA statement for OHPB

Hi Tara,

I do not have anything else to add. Thank you, everyone.

Cara

From: CHETOCK Tara A <Tara.A.CHETOCK@dhsosha.state.or.us>
Sent: Friday, July 30, 2021 2:12 PM
To: Bangsberg David <bangsber@ohsu.edu>; BIDDLECOM Cara M <Cara.M.BIDDLECOM@dhsosha.state.or.us>; Hamade Ali K <ALI.K.HAMADE@dhsosha.state.or.us>; Ourso Andre <ANDRE.OURSO@dhsosha.state.or.us>; Modie Jonathan N <JONATHAN.N.MODIE@dhsosha.state.or.us>
Subject: OHA statement for OHPB

Thanks David for getting this started. I see that Ali has made edits - thanks Ali!

Andre, Jonathan and Cara - are there additional edits or considerations to include?

Tara

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2021, at 2:21 PM, David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu> wrote:

Think twice before clicking on links or opening attachments. This email came from outside our organization and might not be safe. If you are not expecting an attachment, contact the sender before

opening it.

Thanks Ali,

I started a first draft base on our discussion. Please edit and comment. Also feel free to ring me at 5034079896 is you want to discuss by phone.

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1Ycm6WEgpJGJKg5ad02aTu1XXajHX9SaxoKX9KuBXqeQ/edit?usp=sharing>

Best,

David

David Bangsberg, MD, MPH

Founding Dean

Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health

Mailcode VPT

3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road | Portland OR 97239

5034079896 | Email: bangsber@ohsu.edu

Skype: bangsberg | Twitter: @DavidBangsberg

Gender pronouns: he, his, him

From: Hamade Ali K <ALI.K.HAMADE@dhsoha.state.or.us>

Date: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 at 11:24 AM

To: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>

Cc: CHETOCK Tara A <Tara.A.CHETOCK@dhsoha.state.or.us>, BIDDLECOM Cara M

<Cara.M.BIDDLECOM@dhsoha.state.or.us>, Modie Jonathan N

<JONATHAN.N.MODIE@dhsoha.state.or.us>, Ourso Andre <ANDRE.OURSO@dhsoha.state.or.us>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] National Toxicology Program animal study

Hi David,

Many thanks for your energy and thoughtfulness on this.

Here is the National Toxicology Program (NTP) study summary

https://www.niehs.nih.gov/health/materials/cell_phone_radiofrequency_radiation_studies_508.pdf

Also, links to the actual NTP reports <https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/events/panels/tr/2018/03/materials.html>

There is a super brief summary of some of the NTP study findings in our FAQs #2 and under Additional Resources - National Toxicology Program subheading

https://www.oregon.gov/oha/PH/HEALTHYENVIRONMENTS/RADIATIONPROTECTION/Documents/SB_283_FAQ.pdf

There is more information in the FAQs if you get a chance to look.

Best regards,

Ali

From: [David Bangsberg](#)
To: [Ourso Andre](#); [BIDDLECOM Cara M](#); [CHETOCK Tara A](#); [Hamade Ali K](#); [Modie Jonathan N](#)
Subject: RE: OHA statement for OHPB
Date: Friday, July 30, 2021 8:55:14 PM

Think twice before clicking on links or opening attachments. This email came from outside our organization and might not be safe. If you are not expecting an attachment, contact the sender before opening it.

I can sign off on this. Lmk if you want me to read part, none or the whole thing tues. I am perfectly happy w all of the above.
Thanks again for your constructive and collaborative partnership on this difficult issue.
Best,
David

[OHSU Email via Boxer - Workspace ONE]

On July 30, 2021 at 4:41:02 PM PDT, Ourso Andre <ANDRE.OURSO@dhsoha.state.or.us> wrote:

The statement looks good to me. Thank you.

Andre Ourso
Administrator,
Center for Health Protection,
Public Health Division,
Oregon Health Authority

cell: 971-325-5370

From: BIDDLECOM Cara M <Cara.M.BIDDLECOM@dhsoha.state.or.us>
Sent: Friday, July 30, 2021 2:26 PM
To: CHETOCK Tara A <Tara.A.CHETOCK@dhsoha.state.or.us>; Bangsberg David <bangsber@ohsu.edu>; Hamade Ali K <ALI.K.HAMADE@dhsoha.state.or.us>; Ourso Andre <ANDRE.OURSO@dhsoha.state.or.us>; Modie Jonathan N <JONATHAN.N.MODIE@dhsoha.state.or.us>
Subject: RE: OHA statement for OHPB

Hi Tara,

I do not have anything else to add. Thank you, everyone.

Cara

From: CHETOCK Tara A <Tara.A.CHETOCK@dhsoha.state.or.us>
Sent: Friday, July 30, 2021 2:12 PM
To: Bangsberg David <bangsber@ohsu.edu>; BIDDLECOM Cara M <Cara.M.BIDDLECOM@dhsoha.state.or.us>; Hamade Ali K <ALI.K.HAMADE@dhsoha.state.or.us>; Ourso Andre <ANDRE.OURSO@dhsoha.state.or.us>; Modie Jonathan N <JONATHAN.N.MODIE@dhsoha.state.or.us>
Subject: OHA statement for OHPB

Thanks David for getting this started. I see that Ali has made edits - thanks Ali!

Andre, Jonathan and Cara - are there additional edits or considerations to include?

Tara

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 28, 2021, at 2:21 PM, David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu> wrote:

Think twice before clicking on links or opening attachments. This email came from outside our organization and might not be safe. If you are not expecting an attachment, contact the sender before opening it.

Thanks Ali,
I started a first draft base on our discussion. Please edit and comment. Also feel free to ring me at 5034079896 is you want to discuss by phone.
<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1YCM6WEgPJGJKg5ad02aTu1XXajHX9SaxoKX9KuBXqeQ/edit?>

[usp=sharing](#)

Best,
David

David Bangsberg, MD, MPH
Founding Dean
Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health
Mailcode VPT
3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road | Portland OR 97239
5034079896 | Email: bangsber@ohsu.edu
Skype: bangsberg | Twitter: @DavidBangsberg
Gender pronouns: he, his, him

From: Hamade Ali K <ALL.K.HAMADE@dhsoha.state.or.us>
Date: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 at 11:24 AM
To: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>
Cc: CHETOCK Tara A <Tara.A.CHETOCK@dhsoha.state.or.us>, BIDDLECOM Cara M <Cara.M.BIDDLECOM@dhsoha.state.or.us>, Modie Jonathan N <JONATHAN.N.MODIE@dhsoha.state.or.us>, Ourso Andre <ANDRE.OURSO@dhsoha.state.or.us>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] National Toxicology Program animal study

Hi David,

Many thanks for your energy and thoughtfulness on this.

Here is the National Toxicology Program (NTP) study summary
https://www.niehs.nih.gov/health/materials/cell_phone_radiofrequency_radiation_studies_508.pdf

Also, links to the actual NTP reports <https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/events/panels/tr/2018/03/materials.html>

There is a super brief summary of some of the NTP study findings in our FAQs #2 and under Additional Resources - National Toxicology Program subheading
https://www.oregon.gov/oha/PH/HEALTHYENVIRONMENTS/RADIATIONPROTECTION/Documents/SB_283_FAQ.pdf

There is more information in the FAQs if you get a chance to look.

Best regards,

Ali

From: [David Bangsberg](#)
To: [Modie Jonathan N](#); [CHETOCK Tara A](#); [Vandehey Jeremy](#)
Subject: FW: Fwd: OHPB/OHA's statement revising OHA's prior lack of "sufficient evidence" finding. OHA now states it cant "exclude the possibility of a causal effect" of harm from RFR
Date: Tuesday, September 28, 2021 12:43:59 PM

Think twice before clicking on links or opening attachments. This email came from outside our organization and might not be safe. If you are not expecting an attachment, contact the sender before opening it.

Fyi

David Bangsberg, MD, MPH
Founding Dean
Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health
Mailcode VPT
3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road | Portland OR 97239
5034079896 | Email: bangsber@ohsu.edu
Skype: bangsberg | Twitter: @DavidBangsberg
Gender pronouns: he, his, him

From: "ddanforbes@aol.com" <ddanforbes@aol.com>
Reply-To: "ddanforbes@aol.com" <ddanforbes@aol.com>
Date: Tuesday, September 28, 2021 at 12:38 PM
To: "sphnews@pdx.edu" <sphnews@pdx.edu>
Cc: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Fwd: OHPB/OHA's statement revising OHA's prior lack of "sufficient evidence" finding. OHA now states it cant "exclude the possibility of a causal effect" of harm from RFR.

Good Afternoon, Good Folks of the SPH Press Office:

Here's the query memo I sent Dr. Bangsberg yesterday. It concerns his chairmanship of the Oregon Health Policy Board, the legal oversight body for the Oregon Health Authority. It seems Dr. Bangsberg was pretty much singly responsible for revamping the state's stance regarding the potential risk to students of the wireless devices proliferating in schools.

There are seven numbered questions below. I'm requesting getting Dr. Bangsberg on the phone for a few moments to discuss them. I make my request

in light of the American Public Health Association's [Code of Ethics](#), which states on page 8 that:

"Public health practitioners and organizations have an ethical responsibility to be transparent, to be accountable to the public at large, and to include and engage diverse publics, communities, or stakeholders in their decision making."

I do hope that you can facilitate the interview. (Note, Dr. Bangsberg's voice-mailbox is full.)

Many thanks,

Daniel Forbes
The Washington Spectator
503-477-8888 (Not text enabled.)

-----Original Message-----

From: ddanforbes@aol.com

To: bangsber@ohsu.edu <bangsber@ohsu.edu>

Cc: ddanforbes@aol.com

Sent: Mon, Sep 27, 2021 2:56 pm

Subject: Re OHPB/OHA's statement revising OHA's prior lack of "sufficient evidence" finding. OHA now states it cant "exclude the possibility of a causal effect" of harm from RFR.

Good Afternoon, Dr. Bangsberg:

I trust this finds you well. I was delaying my follow-up article on the response here in Oregon to the Oregon Health Authority Wireless risk in schools report issued last New Year's Eve until Sen. Michael Dembrow conducted his state senate hearing on the matter, scheduled for last Wednesday, 9/22/21. As you know, that and all other special legislative hearings were postponed. Sen. Dembrow indicates he may reschedule in November.

Some grist for my mill was afforded by the July and August meetings of the Oregon Health Policy Board -- the legally mandated oversight body for OHA which you chair. In fact, the joint [Bangsberg/OHA statement](#) that you crafted "in partnership" with OHA and that you read aloud on August 3 and issued -- if one knows where to look -- on the OHPB website that day, constitute, far as I'm aware, OHA's sole public statement on the 100-page report it published last December.

I write today to seek your comment on a significant element of the statement you and OHA released in response to public comment on the OHA report at the July OHPB meeting. In that August 3 statement, you and OHA -- the state of Oregon, in effect -- declared in regard to Radiofrequency Radiation (RFR) that, *“While the available data do not prove a causal effect [of harm], neither do they exclude the possibility of a causal effect.”*

That’s quite a departure for OHA to state formally that the state’s children may be at increased risk of adverse health effects from RFR in schools. I say that given that OHA's legislatively mandated, 100-page [risk assessment](#) published in December contained the finding in its Executive Summary that OHA lacked “sufficient evidence to conclude that RFR exposure in school settings is associated with adverse health effects.”

So, yes, a significant change to go from the evidence is lacking to "the available data do not ... exclude the possibility of a causal effect" of harm.

QUESTION # 1. I try to eschew assumption. So let me ask you to describe your role in the insertion of this significant change in the statement you and OHA released in August. It seems without your involvement, OHA would not have made such a statement, correct?

QUESTION # 2. Who did you consult with at OHA regarding this statement, the specific individuals who you specifically did not name in Auguts?

No insult to OHPB, but the joint statement was released solely on its website, buried in the entry for the August 3 meeting, and then buried among the dozen documents referenced. Should OHPB have sought attention to the matter, it could have done so. Similarly, should OHA have desired to make known the significant change in its findings on wireless risk, it has a robust press operation with easily accessible digital content.

QUESTION # 3. Why is this significant change in the state's findings on wireless risk not made more widely known; why is it, in effect, buried? Don't the parents of Oregon schoolkids deserve to know of this revised risk assessment?

QUESTION # 4. Is this OHA statement revamping its stance on wireless risk in schools reason alone enough to prompt the retraction and revision of the OHA report?

(One prominent critic of the report my pending article will quote said

simply, "The statement certainly would lead unbiased, reasonable experts to seek a radical revision and retraction of that report. Period.")

The two-page OHA statement uses the phrase, "school[s] or similar environments" twice; "school[s] setting" twice; and "school environments" once.

QUESTION # 5. Given that OHA now formally, publically states that the possibility of a causal effect of harm from RFR in a school setting can not be excluded, is it incumbent upon the legislature to provide funds for OHA to arrive at a conclusive finding? (As you know, given your question to OHA's Jeremy Vandehey at the August 3 OHPB meeting and his response, as of now, OHA considers the matter closed.)

The 2019 law mandating the OHA report ([SB 283](#)) requires Oregon's Department of Education to "develop recommendations ... for practices and alternative technologies that would reduce students' exposure to microwave radiation that" OHA "identifies as harmful."

QUESTION # 6: Now that OHA has said, in effect, that it can not, at this time, actually rate the risk of harm from RFR in schools, what action on the part of both the Dept. of Education and OHA does that provision of state law require?

QUESTION # 7: Does this formal statement by the state public health agency expose Oregon to potential legal and financial liability from current or former students experiencing adverse health effects -- perhaps, in the case of cancer, many years down the road?

Dr. Bangsberg, I will be discussing your actions in my article. I look forward to getting you on the phone at your convenience to obtain verbally your replies to these questions. Barring that, emailed replies penned by you will suffice. What I'm obviously rejecting as inadequate are anodyne replies of limited utility from the OHA press office. You, sir, are the chair of a legally mandated oversight board for a state agency -

- and an ex-Harvard professor and the founding dean of the OHSU-PSU School of Public Health to boot. I would hope, given your oversight role, you will speak for yourself.

Many thanks,

Daniel Forbes

The Washington Spectator

503-477-8888 (Not text enabled.)

From: [David Bangsberg](#)
To: [Modie Jonathan N](#); [CHETOCK Tara A](#); [Vandehey Jeremy](#)
Cc: [Oscar Arana](#)
Subject: Response to Dan Forbes
Date: Tuesday, October 5, 2021 12:03:25 PM

Think twice before clicking on links or opening attachments. This email came from outside our organization and might not be safe. If you are not expecting an attachment, contact the sender before opening it.

Hi Tara, Jeremy and Jon,

I think we should send a response to Mr. Forbes, if you agree. Below is a start to get us going.

Dear Mr. Forbes,

I received your note in response to my comments made during the September Oregon Health Policy Board meeting. My comments were technical clarifications to the OHA report on wireless radiation based on my commitment to due diligence review all public testimony with the co-Chair Oscar Arana, OHA staff as Chair of the OHPB and my experience as a public health professional. As Chair of OHPB and a public health professional, I have advised OHA to move on with what I believe are more pressing priorities including: COVID response, Cost Growth Target Containment, the 1115 Medicaid Waiver, the health care market oversight program and ending health disparities in Oregon by 2030. I believe that these are the pressing health priorities for Oregonians and should be the focus of OHA expertise and resources.

Regards,
David Bangsberg

David Bangsberg, MD, MPH
Founding Dean
Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health
Mailcode VPT
3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road | Portland OR 97239
5034079896 | Email: bangsber@ohsu.edu
Skype: bangsberg | Twitter: @DavidBangsberg
Gender pronouns: he, his, him

From: [Modie Jonathan N](#)
To: [Vandehey Jeremy](#); [Bangsberg David](#); [CHETOCK Tara A](#)
Cc: [Oscar Arana](#)
Subject: RE: Response to Dan Forbes
Date: Tuesday, October 5, 2021 6:22:00 PM

Agree, Jeremy. Or maybe even "advised OHA to focus on what I believe ..." Otherwise, I think it's great, David.

Jonathan

From: Vandehey Jeremy <JEREMY.VANDEHEY@dhsosha.state.or.us>
Sent: Tuesday, October 5, 2021 4:50 PM
To: Bangsberg David <bangsber@ohsu.edu>; Modie Jonathan N <JONATHAN.N.MODIE@dhsosha.state.or.us>; CHETOCK Tara A <Tara.A.CHETOCK@dhsosha.state.or.us>
Cc: Oscar Arana <OscarA@nayapdx.org>
Subject: Re: Response to Dan Forbes

I defer to Jonathan. One suggestion to consider would be to change the "advised OHA to move on" line to be more framed as OHPB needs to move on with its priorities so it's clear that you've consider this feedback but aren't going to continue to respond to his request and will refer him to Jonathan moving forward.

From: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, October 5, 2021 12:02 PM
To: Modie Jonathan N <JONATHAN.N.MODIE@dhsosha.state.or.us>; CHETOCK Tara A <Tara.A.CHETOCK@dhsosha.state.or.us>; Vandehey Jeremy <JEREMY.VANDEHEY@dhsosha.state.or.us>
Cc: Oscar Arana <OscarA@nayapdx.org>
Subject: Response to Dan Forbes

Think twice before clicking on links or opening attachments. This email came from outside our organization and might not be safe. If you are not expecting an attachment, contact the sender before opening it.

Hi Tara, Jeremy and Jon,

I think we should send a response to Mr. Forbes, if you agree. Below is a start to get us going.

Dear Mr. Forbes,

I received your note in response to my comments made during the September Oregon Health Policy Board meeting. My comments were technical clarifications to the OHA report on wireless radiation

based on my commitment to due diligence review all public testimony with the co-Chair Oscar Arana, OHA staff as Chair of the OHPB and my experience as a public health professional. As Chair of OHPB and a public health professional, I have advised OHA to move on with what I believe are more pressing priorities including: COVID response, Cost Growth Target Containment, the 1115 Medicaid Waiver, the health care market oversight program and ending health disparities in Oregon by 2030. I believe that these are the pressing health priorities for Oregonians and should be the focus of OHA expertise and resources.

Regards,
David Bangsberg

David Bangsberg, MD, MPH

Founding Dean

Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health

Mailcode VPT

3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road | Portland OR 97239

5034079896 | Email: bangsber@ohsu.edu

Skype: bangsberg | Twitter: @DavidBangsberg

Gender pronouns: he, his, him

From: [David Bangsberg](#)
To: [Modie Jonathan N](#); [Vandehey Jeremy](#); [CHETOCK Tara A](#)
Cc: [Oscar Arana](#)
Subject: Re: RE: Response to Dan Forbes
Date: Tuesday, October 5, 2021 6:48:18 PM

Think twice before clicking on links or opening attachments. This email came from outside our organization and might not be safe. If you are not expecting an attachment, contact the sender before opening it.

Thanks. Below is the revised response with a few additional minor edits.

I will wait until mid morning to send in case there are additional thoughts

Dear Mr. Forbes,

I received your note in response to my comments made during the September Oregon Health Policy Board meeting. My comments were technical clarifications to the OHA report on wireless radiation based on my commitment to due diligence review all public testimony with the co-Chair Oscar Arana, OHA staff as Chair of the OHPB and my experience as a public health professional. As Chair of OHPB and a public health professional, I have advised OHA to focus on what I believe are more pressing priorities for Oregonians which include: COVID response, Cost Growth Target Containment, the 1115 Medicaid Waiver, the Health Care Market Oversight Program and ending health disparities in Oregon by 2030. I believe that these are the pressing health priorities for Oregonians and should be the focus of the OHPB, OHA and associated resources.

Regards,
David Bangsberg

David Bangsberg, MD, MPH
Founding Dean
Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health
Mailcode VPT
3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road | Portland OR 97239
5034079896 | Email: bangsber@ohsu.edu
Skype: bangsberg | Twitter: @DavidBangsberg
Gender pronouns: he, his, him

From: Modie Jonathan N <JONATHAN.N.MODIE@dhsola.state.or.us>
Date: Tuesday, October 5, 2021 at 6:22 PM
To: Vandehey Jeremy <JEREMY.VANDEHEY@dhsola.state.or.us>, David Bangsberg

<bangsber@ohsu.edu>, CHETOCK Tara A <Tara.A.CHETOCK@dhsola.state.or.us>

Cc: Oscar Arana <OscarA@nayapdx.org>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Response to Dan Forbes

Agree, Jeremy. Or maybe even "advised OHA to focus on what I believe ..." Otherwise, I think it's great, David.

Jonathan

From: Vandehey Jeremy <JEREMY.VANDEHEY@dhsola.state.or.us>

Sent: Tuesday, October 5, 2021 4:50 PM

To: Bangsberg David <bangsber@ohsu.edu>; Modie Jonathan N <JONATHAN.N.MODIE@dhsola.state.or.us>; CHETOCK Tara A <Tara.A.CHETOCK@dhsola.state.or.us>

Cc: Oscar Arana <OscarA@nayapdx.org>

Subject: Re: Response to Dan Forbes

I defer to Jonathan. One suggestion to consider would be to change the "advised OHA to move on" line to be more framed as OHPB needs to move on with its priorities so it's clear that you've consider this feedback but aren't going to continue to respond to his request and will refer him to Jonathan moving forward.

From: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>

Sent: Tuesday, October 5, 2021 12:02 PM

To: Modie Jonathan N <JONATHAN.N.MODIE@dhsola.state.or.us>; CHETOCK Tara A <Tara.A.CHETOCK@dhsola.state.or.us>; Vandehey Jeremy <JEREMY.VANDEHEY@dhsola.state.or.us>

Cc: Oscar Arana <OscarA@nayapdx.org>

Subject: Response to Dan Forbes

Think twice before clicking on links or opening attachments. This email came from outside our organization and might not be safe. If you are not expecting an attachment, contact the sender before opening it.

Hi Tara, Jeremy and Jon,

I think we should send a response to Mr. Forbes, if you agree. Below is a start to get us going.

Dear Mr. Forbes,

I received your note in response to my comments made during the September Oregon Health Policy Board meeting. My comments were technical clarifications to the OHA report on wireless radiation based on my commitment to due diligence review all public testimony with the co-Chair Oscar

Arana, OHA staff as Chair of the OHPB and my experience as a public health professional. As Chair of OHPB and a public health professional, I have advised OHA to move on with what I believe are more pressing priorities including: COVID response, Cost Growth Target Containment, the 1115 Medicaid Waiver, the health care market oversight program and ending health disparities in Oregon by 2030. I believe that these are the pressing health priorities for Oregonians and should be the focus of OHA expertise and resources.

Regards,
David Bangsberg

David Bangsberg, MD, MPH

Founding Dean

Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health

Mailcode VPT

3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road | Portland OR 97239

5034079896 | Email: bangsber@ohsu.edu

Skype: bangsberg | Twitter: @DavidBangsberg

Gender pronouns: he, his, him

From: [Modie Jonathan N](#)
To: [David Bangsberg](#); [Vandehey Jeremy](#); [CHETOCK Tara A](#)
Cc: [Oscar Arana](#)
Subject: RE: RE: Response to Dan Forbes
Date: Tuesday, October 5, 2021 9:54:00 PM

Looks great, David. Thank you.

Jonathan

From: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, October 5, 2021 6:48 PM
To: Modie Jonathan N <JONATHAN.N.MODIE@dhsosha.state.or.us>; Vandehey Jeremy <JEREMY.VANDEHEY@dhsosha.state.or.us>; CHETOCK Tara A <Tara.A.CHETOCK@dhsosha.state.or.us>
Cc: Oscar Arana <OscarA@nayapdx.org>
Subject: Re: RE: Response to Dan Forbes

Think twice before clicking on links or opening attachments. This email came from outside our organization and might not be safe. If you are not expecting an attachment, contact the sender before opening it.

Thanks. Below is the revised response with a few additional minor edits.

I will wait until mid morning to send in case there are additional thoughts

Dear Mr. Forbes,

I received your note in response to my comments made during the September Oregon Health Policy Board meeting. My comments were technical clarifications to the OHA report on wireless radiation based on my commitment to due diligence review all public testimony with the co-Chair Oscar Arana, OHA staff as Chair of the OHPB and my experience as a public health professional. As Chair of OHPB and a public health professional, I have advised OHA to focus on what I believe are more pressing priorities for Oregonians which include: COVID response, Cost Growth Target Containment, the 1115 Medicaid Waiver, the Health Care Market Oversight Program and ending health disparities in Oregon by 2030. I believe that these are the pressing health priorities for Oregonians and should be the focus of the OHPB, OHA and associated resources.

Regards,
David Bangsberg

David Bangsberg, MD, MPH
Founding Dean
Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health
Mailcode VPT

3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road | Portland OR 97239

5034079896 | Email: bangsber@ohsu.edu

Skype: bangsberg | Twitter: @DavidBangsberg

Gender pronouns: he, his, him

From: Modie Jonathan N <JONATHAN.N.MODIE@dhsoba.state.or.us>

Date: Tuesday, October 5, 2021 at 6:22 PM

To: Vandehey Jeremy <JEREMY.VANDEHEY@dhsoba.state.or.us>, David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>, CHETOCK Tara A <Tara.A.CHETOCK@dhsoba.state.or.us>

Cc: Oscar Arana <OscarA@nayapdx.org>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Response to Dan Forbes

Agree, Jeremy. Or maybe even "advised OHA to focus on what I believe ..." Otherwise, I think it's great, David.

Jonathan

From: Vandehey Jeremy <JEREMY.VANDEHEY@dhsoba.state.or.us>

Sent: Tuesday, October 5, 2021 4:50 PM

To: Bangsberg David <bangsber@ohsu.edu>; Modie Jonathan N <JONATHAN.N.MODIE@dhsoba.state.or.us>; CHETOCK Tara A <Tara.A.CHETOCK@dhsoba.state.or.us>

Cc: Oscar Arana <OscarA@nayapdx.org>

Subject: Re: Response to Dan Forbes

I defer to Jonathan. One suggestion to consider would be to change the "advised OHA to move on" line to be more framed as OHPB needs to move on with its priorities so it's clear that you've consider this feedback but aren't going to continue to respond to his request and will refer him to Jonathan moving forward.

From: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>

Sent: Tuesday, October 5, 2021 12:02 PM

To: Modie Jonathan N <JONATHAN.N.MODIE@dhsoba.state.or.us>; CHETOCK Tara A <Tara.A.CHETOCK@dhsoba.state.or.us>; Vandehey Jeremy <JEREMY.VANDEHEY@dhsoba.state.or.us>

Cc: Oscar Arana <OscarA@nayapdx.org>

Subject: Response to Dan Forbes

Think twice before clicking on links or opening attachments. This email came from outside our organization and might not be safe. If you are not expecting an attachment, contact the sender before opening it.

Hi Tara, Jeremy and Jon,

I think we should send a response to Mr. Forbes, if you agree. Below is a start to get us going.

Dear Mr. Forbes,

I received your note in response to my comments made during the September Oregon Health Policy Board meeting. My comments were technical clarifications to the OHA report on wireless radiation based on my commitment to due diligence review all public testimony with the co-Chair Oscar Arana, OHA staff as Chair of the OHPB and my experience as a public health professional. As Chair of OHPB and a public health professional, I have advised OHA to move on with what I believe are more pressing priorities including: COVID response, Cost Growth Target Containment, the 1115 Medicaid Waiver, the health care market oversight program and ending health disparities in Oregon by 2030. I believe that these are the pressing health priorities for Oregonians and should be the focus of OHA expertise and resources.

Regards,
David Bangsberg

David Bangsberg, MD, MPH

Founding Dean

Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health

Mailcode VPT

3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road | Portland OR 97239

5034079896 | Email: bangsber@ohsu.edu

Skype: bangsberg | Twitter: @DavidBangsberg

Gender pronouns: he, his, him

From: [David Bangsberg](#)
To: [Modie Jonathan N](#); [Vandehey Jeremy](#); [Oscar Arana](#); [CHETOCK Tara A](#)
Subject: FW: Re OHPB/OHA's statement revising OHA's prior lack of "sufficient evidence" finding. OHA now states it cant "exclude the possibility of a causal effect" of harm from RFR.
Date: Wednesday, October 6, 2021 11:47:33 AM

Think twice before clicking on links or opening attachments. This email came from outside our organization and might not be safe. If you are not expecting an attachment, contact the sender before opening it.

Fyi – sent. Thanks for the counsel.

David Bangsberg, MD, MPH
Founding Dean
Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health
Mailcode VPT
3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road | Portland OR 97239
5034079896 | Email: bangsber@ohsu.edu
Skype: bangsberg | Twitter: @DavidBangsberg
Gender pronouns: he, his, him

From: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>
Date: Wednesday, October 6, 2021 at 11:44 AM
To: "ddanforbes@aol.com" <ddanforbes@aol.com>
Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] Re OHPB/OHA's statement revising OHA's prior lack of "sufficient evidence" finding. OHA now states it cant "exclude the possibility of a causal effect" of harm from RFR.

Dear Mr. Forbes,

I received your note in response to my comments made during the September Oregon Health Policy Board meeting. My comments were technical clarifications to the OHA report on wireless radiation based on my commitment to do due diligence review all public testimony with the co-Chair Oscar Arana, OHA staff as Chair of the OHPB and based my experience as a public health professional.

As Chair of OHPB and a public health professional, I have advised OHA to focus on what I believe are more pressing priorities for Oregonians which include: COVID response, Cost Growth Target Containment, the 1115 Medicaid Waiver, the Health Care Market Oversight Program and ending health disparities in Oregon by 2030. I believe that these are the most important health priorities for Oregonians and should be the focus of the OHPB, OHA and associated resources.

Regards,

David Bangsberg

David Bangsberg, MD, MPH

Founding Dean

Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health

Mailcode VPT

3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road | Portland OR 97239

5034079896 | Email: bangsber@ohsu.edu

Skype: bangsberg | Twitter: @DavidBangsberg

Gender pronouns: he, his, him

From: "ddanforbes@aol.com" <ddanforbes@aol.com>

Reply-To: "ddanforbes@aol.com" <ddanforbes@aol.com>

Date: Monday, September 27, 2021 at 2:56 PM

To: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>

Cc: "ddanforbes@aol.com" <ddanforbes@aol.com>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re OHPB/OHA's statement revising OHA's prior lack of "sufficient evidence" finding. OHA now states it cant "exclude the possibility of a causal effect" of harm from RFR.

Good Afternoon, Dr. Bangsberg:

I trust this finds you well. I was delaying my follow-up article on the response here in Oregon to the Oregon Health Authority Wireless risk in schools report issued last New Year's Eve until Sen. Michael Dembrow conducted his state senate hearing on the matter, scheduled for last Wednesday, 9/22/21. As you know, that and all other special legislative hearings were postponed. Sen. Dembrow indicates he may reschedule in November.

Some grist for my mill was afforded by the July and August meetings of the Oregon Health Policy Board -- the legally mandated oversight body for OHA which you chair. In fact, the joint [Bangsberg/OHA statement](#) that you crafted "in partnership" with OHA and that you read aloud on August 3 and issued -- if one knows where to look -- on the OHPB website that day, constitute, far as I'm aware, OHA's sole public statement on the 100-page report it published last December.

I write today to seek your comment on a significant element of the statement you and OHA released in response to public comment on the OHA report at the July OHPB meeting. In that August 3 statement, you and OHA -- the state of Oregon, in

effect -- declared in regard to Radiofrequency Radiation (RFR) that, *“While the available data do not prove a causal effect [of harm], neither do they exclude the possibility of a causal effect.”*

That’s quite a departure for OHA to state formally that the state’s children may be at increased risk of adverse health effects from RFR in schools. I say that given that its legislatively mandated risk assessment contained the finding in its Executive Summary that OHA lacked “sufficient evidence to conclude that RFR exposure in school settings is associated with adverse health effects.”

So, yes, a significant change to go from the evidence is lacking to "the available data do not ... exclude the possibility of a causal effect" of harm.

QUESTION # 1. I try to eschew assumption. So let me ask you to describe your role in the insertion of this significant change in the statement you and OHA released in August. It seems without your involvement, OHA would not have made such a statement, correct?

QUESTION # 2. Who did you consult with at OHA regarding this statement, the specific individuals who you specifically did not name in Auguts?

No insult to OHPB, but the joint statement was released solely on its website, buried in the entry for the August 3 meeting, and then buried among the dozen documents referenced. Should OHPB have sought attention to the matter, it could have done so. Similarly, should OHA have desired to make known the significant change in its findings on wireless risk, it has a robust press operation with easily accessible digital content.

QUESTION # 3. Why is this significant change in the state's findings on wireless risk not made known; why is it, in effect, buried? Don't the parents of Oregon schoolkids deserve to know of this revised risk assessment?

QUESTION # 4. Is this statement on OHA's part reason alone enough to prompt the retraction and revision of the OHA report?

(One prominent critic of the report my pending article will quote said simply, "The statement certainly would lead unbiased, reasonable experts to seek a radical revision and retraction of that report. Period.")

The two-page OHA statement uses the phrase, "school[s] or similar environments" twice; "school[s] setting" twice; and "school environments" once.

QUESTION # 5. Given that OHA now formally, publically states that the possibility of a causal effect of harm from RFR in a school setting can not be excluded, is it incumbent upon the legislature to provide funds for OHA to arrive at a conclusive finding? (As you know, given your question to OHA's Jeremy Vandehey at the August 3 OHPB meeting and his response, as of now, OHA considers the matter closed.)

The 2019 law mandating the OHA report ([SB 283](#)) requires Oregon's Department of Education to “develop recommendations ... for practices and alternative technologies that would reduce students’ exposure to microwave radiation that” OHA “identifies as harmful.”

QUESTION # 6: Now that OHA has said, in effect, that it can not, at this time, actually rate the risk of harm from RFR in schools, what action on the part of both the Dept. of Education and OHA does that provision of state law require?

QUESTION # 7: Does this formal statement by the state public health agency expose Oregon to potential legal and financial liability from current or former students experiencing adverse health effects -- perhaps, in the case of cancer, many years down the road?

Dr. Bangsberg, I will be discussing your actions in my article. I look forward to getting you on the phone at your convenience to obtain verbally your replies to these questions. Barring that, emailed replies penned by you will suffice. What I'm obviously rejecting as inadequate are anodyne replies of limited utility from the OHA press office. You, sir, are the chair of a legally mandated oversight board for a state agency - - and an ex-Harvard professor and the founding dean of the OHSU-PSU School of Public Health to boot. I would hope, given your oversight role, you will speak for yourself.

Many thanks,

Daniel Forbes

The Washington Spectator

503-477-8888 (Not text enabled.)

From: [David Bangsberg](#)
To: [Modie Jonathan N](#); [Vandehey Jeremy](#); [Oscar Arana](#); [CHETOCK Tara A](#)
Subject: FW: They are also OHA's "technical clarifications" given that the 8/3/21 document is an "OHA STATEMENT" -- correct? [CAPS in original.]
Date: Wednesday, October 6, 2021 12:44:43 PM

Think twice before clicking on links or opening attachments. This email came from outside our organization and might not be safe. If you are not expecting an attachment, contact the sender before opening it.

fyi

David Bangsberg, MD, MPH
Founding Dean
Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health
Mailcode VPT
3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road | Portland OR 97239
5034079896 | Email: bangsber@ohsu.edu
Skype: bangsberg | Twitter: @DavidBangsberg
Gender pronouns: he, his, him

From: "ddanforbes@aol.com" <ddanforbes@aol.com>
Reply-To: "ddanforbes@aol.com" <ddanforbes@aol.com>
Date: Wednesday, October 6, 2021 at 12:34 PM
To: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] They are also OHA's "technical clarifications" given that the 8/3/21 document is an "OHA STATEMENT" -- correct? [CAPS in original.]

Hi again, Dr. Bangsberg:

You say below that. "My comments were technical clarifications," etc.

However, is it not correct to also refer to them as OHA's technical clarifications given that the [document](#) released 8/3/21 is headed "**OHA STATEMENT**"? and was crafted, as you said, "in partnership" with OHA? (Bold-face and caps in original.)

Thanks,

Daniel Forbes
The Washington Spectator
503-477-8888 (Not text enabled.)

-----Original Message-----

From: ddanforbes@aol.com

To: bangsber@ohsu.edu <bangsber@ohsu.edu>

Sent: Wed, Oct 6, 2021 12:11 pm

Subject: Re: your reply re OHPB/OHA's statement revising OHA's prior lack of "sufficient evidence" finding.

Hi Dr. Bangsberg:

Thanks for getting back to me. You correctly refer to your "experience as a public health professional" -- impressive experience indeed that my article will laud.

So, to reiterate, can you name, please, the OHA staff who you consulted with on this matter.

Thanks again,

Daniel Forbes

The Washington Spectator

503-477-8888 (Not text enabled.)

-----Original Message-----

From: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>

To: ddanforbes@aol.com <ddanforbes@aol.com>

Sent: Wed, Oct 6, 2021 11:44 am

Subject: Re: Re OHPB/OHA's statement revising OHA's prior lack of "sufficient evidence" finding. OHA now states it cant "exclude the possibility of a causal effect" of harm from RFR.

Dear Mr. Forbes,

I received your note in response to my comments made during the September Oregon Health Policy Board meeting. My comments were technical clarifications to the OHA report on wireless radiation based on my commitment to do due diligence review all public testimony with the co-Chair Oscar Arana, OHA staff as Chair of the OHPB and based my experience as a public health professional.

As Chair of OHPB and a public health professional, I have advised OHA to focus on what I believe are more pressing priorities for Oregonians which include: COVID response, Cost Growth Target Containment, the 1115 Medicaid Waiver, the Health Care Market Oversight Program and ending health disparities in Oregon by 2030. I believe that these are the most important health priorities for Oregonians and should be the focus of the OHPB, OHA and associated resources.

Regards,

David Bangsberg

David Bangsberg, MD, MPH

Founding Dean

Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health

Mailcode VPT

3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road | Portland OR 97239

5034079896 | Email: bangsber@ohsu.edu

Skype: bangsberg | Twitter: @DavidBangsberg

Gender pronouns: he, his, him

From: "ddanforbes@aol.com" <ddanforbes@aol.com>

Reply-To: "ddanforbes@aol.com" <ddanforbes@aol.com>

Date: Monday, September 27, 2021 at 2:56 PM

To: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>

Cc: "ddanforbes@aol.com" <ddanforbes@aol.com>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re OHPB/OHA's statement revising OHA's prior lack of "sufficient evidence" finding. OHA now states it cant "exclude the possibility of a causal effect" of harm from RFR.

Good Afternoon, Dr. Bangsberg:

I trust this finds you well. I was delaying my follow-up article on the response here in Oregon to the Oregon Health Authority Wireless risk in schools report issued last New Year's Eve until Sen. Michael Dembrow conducted his state senate hearing on the matter, scheduled for last Wednesday, 9/22/21. As you know, that and all other special legislative hearings were postponed. Sen. Dembrow indicates he may reschedule in November.

Some grist for my mill was afforded by the July and August meetings of the Oregon Health Policy Board -- the legally mandated oversight body for OHA which you chair. In fact, the joint [Bangsberg/OHA statement](#) that you crafted "in partnership" with OHA and that you read aloud on August 3 and issued -- if one knows where to look -- on the OHPB website that day, constitute, far as I'm aware, OHA's sole public statement on the 100-page report it published last December.

I write today to seek your comment on a significant element of the statement you and OHA released in response to public comment on the OHA report at the July OHPB meeting. In that August 3 statement, you and OHA -- the state of Oregon, in effect -- declared in regard to Radiofrequency Radiation (RFR) that, *"While the available data do not prove a causal effect [of harm], neither do they exclude the possibility of a causal effect."*

That's quite a departure for OHA to state formally that the state's children may be at increased risk of adverse health effects from RFR in schools. I say that given that its legislatively mandated risk assessment contained the finding in its Executive Summary that OHA lacked "sufficient evidence to conclude that RFR exposure in school settings is associated with adverse health effects."

So, yes, a significant change to go from the evidence is lacking to "the available data do not ... exclude the possibility of a causal effect" of harm.

QUESTION # 1. I try to eschew assumption. So let me ask you to describe your role in the insertion of this significant change in the statement you and OHA released in August. It seems without your involvement, OHA would not have made such a statement, correct?

QUESTION # 2. Who did you consult with at OHA regarding this statement, the specific individuals who you specifically did not name in Augusts?

No insult to OHPB, but the joint statement was released solely on its website, buried in the entry for the August 3 meeting, and then buried among the dozen documents referenced. Should OHPB have sought attention to the matter, it could have done so. Similarly, should OHA have desired to make known the significant change in its findings on wireless risk, it has a robust press operation with easily accessible digital content.

QUESTION # 3. Why is this significant change in the state's findings on wireless risk not made known; why is it, in effect, buried? Don't the parents of Oregon schoolkids deserve to know of this revised risk assessment?

QUESTION # 4. Is this statement on OHA's part reason alone enough to prompt the retraction and revision of the OHA report?

(One prominent critic of the report my pending article will quote said simply, "The statement certainly would lead unbiased, reasonable experts to seek a radical revision and retraction of that report. Period.")

The two-page OHA statement uses the phrase, "school[s] or similar environments" twice; "school[s] setting" twice; and "school environments" once.

QUESTION # 5. Given that OHA now formally, publically states that the possibility of a causal effect of harm from RFR in a school setting can not be excluded, is it incumbent upon the legislature to provide funds for OHA to arrive at a conclusive finding? (As you know, given your question to OHA's Jeremy Vandehey at the August 3 OHPB meeting and his response, as of now, OHA considers the matter closed.)

The 2019 law mandating the OHA report ([SB 283](#)) requires Oregon's Department of Education to "develop recommendations ... for practices and alternative technologies that would reduce students' exposure to microwave radiation that" OHA "identifies as harmful."

QUESTION # 6: Now that OHA has said, in effect, that it can not, at this time, actually rate the risk of harm from RFR in schools, what action on the part of both the Dept. of Education and OHA does that provision of state law require?

QUESTION # 7: Does this formal statement by the state public health agency expose Oregon to potential legal and financial liability from current or former students experiencing adverse health effects -- perhaps, in the case of cancer, many years down the road?

Dr. Bangsberg, I will be discussing your actions in my article. I look forward to getting you on the phone at your convenience to obtain verbally your replies to these questions. Barring that, emailed replies penned by you will suffice. What I'm obviously rejecting as inadequate are anodyne replies of limited utility from the OHA press office. You, sir, are the chair of a legally mandated oversight board for a state agency -- and an ex-Harvard professor and the founding dean of the OHSU-PSU School of Public Health to boot. I would hope, given your oversight role, you will speak for yourself.

Many thanks,

Daniel Forbes
The Washington Spectator
503-477-8888 (Not text enabled.)

From: [David Bangsberg](#)
To: [Modie Jonathan N](#); [Vandehey Jeremy](#); [CHETOCK Tara A](#)
Subject: FW: You state herein it was September OHPB meeting. I'm assuming that's incorrect, that you meant to say August. Or was the OHA report also addressed in Sept.?
Date: Thursday, October 14, 2021 3:07:23 PM

Think twice before clicking on links or opening attachments. This email came from outside our organization and might not be safe. If you are not expecting an attachment, contact the sender before opening it.

Hi Jonathan, Tara, and Jeremy,
While I thought it was the Sept meeting, I suspect he's right. If so, I plan to simply say the below.
Feel free to call if you want to discuss 5034079896
David

Dear Mr. Forbes,
You are correct that it was the August rather than the September meeting.
Best regards,
David

David Bangsberg, MD, MPH
Founding Dean
Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health
Mailcode VPT
3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road | Portland OR 97239
5034079896 | Email: bangsber@ohsu.edu
Skype: bangsberg | Twitter: @DavidBangsberg
Gender pronouns: he, his, him

From: "ddanforbes@aol.com" <ddanforbes@aol.com>
Reply-To: "ddanforbes@aol.com" <ddanforbes@aol.com>
Date: Thursday, October 14, 2021 at 2:39 PM
To: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] You state herein it was September OHPB meeting. I'm assuming that's incorrect, that you meant to say August. Or was the OHA report also addressed in Sept.?

Hi again, Dr. Bangsberg:

Finishing up my article. Below you refer to the September OHPB meeting. I'm assuming that's incorrect, and that you meant to say the August OHPB meeting when you/OHA issued your joint statement. Please clarify.

Or was the OHA wireless risk report also addressed at the OHPB September meeting? If so, at what hour/minute mark of the September video record of the meeting?

Also, perforce, my article will note that you decline to say who you discussed this matter with at OHA, aside from saying it was folks in the Public Health Division. **Can you name them, please.**

You've previously declined to answer **questions # 3 & 4** below. The first concerned OHA's significant change in its SB 283 report from a lack-of-sufficient-evidence finding to the agency's current stance that "*While the available data do not prove a causal effect [of harm], neither do they exclude the possibility of a causal effect.*" Why was that significant change effectively buried on OHPB's website? Why did OHA not make this significant change known with a press release on its main website?

And question # 4 below, is that new statement on OHA's part reason alone enough to prompt the retraction and revision of the OHA wireless risk report?

You probably won't answer those, since you haven't before. But I hope you do. Your choice reflects your stance towards transparency as the founding dean of a public health school and chair of a state oversight board. Readers might find your potential silence on the three questions reiterated above (the OHA staff you partnered with and questions # 3 & 4 below) a surprising choice for a man with, as you say, your "experience as a public health professional."

But please do clear up the confusion about your comments occurring potentially at the September OHPB meeting in addition to your comments in August. If there were comments in September, please indicate the time-mark in the meeting.

Thanks,

Daniel Forbes
The Washington Spectator

-----Original Message-----

From: ddanforbes@aol.com

To: bangsber@ohsu.edu <bangsber@ohsu.edu>

Sent: Wed, Oct 6, 2021 12:11 pm

Subject: Re: your reply re OHPB/OHA's statement revising OHA's prior lack of "sufficient evidence" finding.

Hi Dr. Bangsberg:

Thanks for getting back to me. You correctly refer to your "experience as a public health professional" -- impressive experience indeed that my article will laud.

So, to reiterate, can you name, please, the OHA staff who you consulted with on this matter.

Thanks again,

Daniel Forbes
The Washington Spectator
503-477-8888 (Not text enabled.)

-----Original Message-----

From: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>
To: ddanforbes@aol.com <ddanforbes@aol.com>
Sent: Wed, Oct 6, 2021 11:44 am
Subject: Re: Re OHPB/OHA's statement revising OHA's prior lack of "sufficient evidence" finding. OHA now states it cant "exclude the possibility of a causal effect" of harm from RFR.

Dear Mr. Forbes,

I received your note in response to my comments made during the September Oregon Health Policy Board meeting. My comments were technical clarifications to the OHA report on wireless radiation based on my commitment to do due diligence review all public testimony with the co-Chair Oscar Arana, OHA staff as Chair of the OHPB and based my experience as a public health professional.

As Chair of OHPB and a public health professional, I have advised OHA to focus on what I believe are more pressing priorities for Oregonians which include: COVID response, Cost Growth Target Containment, the 1115 Medicaid Waiver, the Health Care Market Oversight Program and ending health disparities in Oregon by 2030. I believe that these are the most important health priorities for Oregonians and should be the focus of the OHPB, OHA and associated resources.

Regards,

David Bangsberg

David Bangsberg, MD, MPH

Founding Dean

Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health

Mailcode VPT

3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road | Portland OR 97239

5034079896 | Email: bangsber@ohsu.edu

Skype: bangsberg | Twitter: @DavidBangsberg

Gender pronouns: he, his, him

From: "ddanforbes@aol.com" <ddanforbes@aol.com>
Reply-To: "ddanforbes@aol.com" <ddanforbes@aol.com>
Date: Monday, September 27, 2021 at 2:56 PM
To: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>
Cc: "ddanforbes@aol.com" <ddanforbes@aol.com>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re OHPB/OHA's statement revising OHA's prior lack of "sufficient evidence" finding. OHA now states it cant "exclude the possibility of a causal effect" of harm from RFR.

Good Afternoon, Dr. Bangsberg:

I trust this finds you well. I was delaying my follow-up article on the response here in Oregon to the Oregon Health Authority Wireless risk in schools report issued last New Year's Eve until Sen. Michael Dembrow conducted his state senate hearing on the matter, scheduled for last Wednesday, 9/22/21. As you know, that and all other special legislative hearings were postponed. Sen. Dembrow indicates he may reschedule in November.

Some grist for my mill was afforded by the July and August meetings of the Oregon Health Policy Board -- the legally mandated oversight body for OHA which you chair. In fact, the joint [Bangsberg/OHA statement](#) that you crafted "in partnership" with OHA and that you read aloud on August 3 and issued -- if one knows where to look -- on the OHPB website that day, constitute, far as I'm aware, OHA's sole public statement on the 100-page report it published last December.

I write today to seek your comment on a significant element of the statement you and OHA released in response to public comment on the OHA report at the July OHPB meeting. In that August 3 statement, you and OHA -- the state of Oregon, in effect -- declared in regard to Radiofrequency Radiation (RFR) that, *"While the available data do not prove a causal effect [of harm], neither do they exclude the possibility of a causal effect."*

That's quite a departure for OHA to state formally that the state's children may be at increased risk of adverse health effects from RFR in schools. I say that given that its legislatively mandated risk assessment contained the finding in its Executive Summary that OHA lacked "sufficient evidence to conclude that RFR exposure in school settings is associated with adverse health effects."

So, yes, a significant change to go from the evidence is lacking to "the available data do not ... exclude the possibility of a causal effect" of harm.

QUESTION # 1. I try to eschew assumption. So let me ask you to describe your role in the insertion of this significant change in the statement you and OHA released in August. It seems without your involvement, OHA would not have made such a statement, correct?

QUESTION # 2. Who did you consult with at OHA regarding this statement, the specific individuals who you specifically did not name in Auguts?

No insult to OHPB, but the joint statement was released solely on its website, buried in the entry for the August 3 meeting, and then buried among the dozen documents referenced. Should OHPB have sought attention to the matter, it could have done so. Similarly, should OHA have desired to make known the significant change in its findings on wireless risk, it has a robust press operation with easily accessible digital content.

QUESTION # 3. Why is this significant change in the state's findings on wireless risk not made known; why is it, in effect, buried? Don't the parents of Oregon schoolkids deserve to know of this revised risk assessment?

QUESTION # 4. Is this statement on OHA's part reason alone enough to prompt the retraction and revision of the OHA report?

(One prominent critic of the report my pending article will quote said simply, "The statement certainly would lead unbiased, reasonable experts to seek a radical revision and retraction of that report. Period.")

The two-page OHA statement uses the phrase, "school[s] or similar environments" twice; "school[s] setting" twice; and "school environments" once.

QUESTION # 5. Given that OHA now formally, publically states that the possibility of a causal effect of harm from RFR in a school setting can not be excluded, is it incumbent upon the legislature to provide funds for OHA to arrive at a conclusive finding? (As you know, given your question to OHA's Jeremy Vandehey at the August 3 OHPB meeting and his response, as of now, OHA considers the matter closed.)

The 2019 law mandating the OHA report ([SB 283](#)) requires Oregon's Department of Education to "develop recommendations ... for practices and alternative technologies that would reduce students' exposure to microwave radiation that" OHA "identifies as harmful."

QUESTION # 6: Now that OHA has said, in effect, that it can not, at this time, actually rate the risk of harm from RFR in schools, what action on the part of both the Dept. of Education and OHA does that provision of state law require?

QUESTION # 7: Does this formal statement by the state public health agency expose Oregon to potential legal and financial liability from current or former students experiencing adverse health effects -- perhaps, in the case of cancer, many years down the road?

Dr. Bangsberg, I will be discussing your actions in my article. I look forward to getting you on the phone at your convenience to obtain verbally your replies to these questions. Barring that, emailed replies penned by you will suffice. What I'm obviously

rejecting as inadequate are anodyne replies of limited utility from the OHA press office. You, sir, are the chair of a legally mandated oversight board for a state agency -- and an ex-Harvard professor and the founding dean of the OHSU-PSU School of Public Health to boot. I would hope, given your oversight role, you will speak for yourself.

Many thanks,

Daniel Forbes

The Washington Spectator

503-477-8888 (Not text enabled.)

From: [Modie Jonathan N](#)
To: [David Bangsberg](#); [Vandehey Jeremy](#); [CHETOCK Tara A](#)
Subject: RE: You state herein it was September OHPB meeting. I'm assuming that's incorrect, that you meant to say August. Or was the OHA report also addressed in Sept.?
Date: Thursday, October 14, 2021 3:13:00 PM

Sounds good. Thanks, David!

Jonathan

From: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>
Sent: Thursday, October 14, 2021 3:06 PM
To: Modie Jonathan N <JONATHAN.N.MODIE@dhsosha.state.or.us>; Vandehey Jeremy <JEREMY.VANDEHEY@dhsosha.state.or.us>; CHETOCK Tara A <Tara.A.CHETOCK@dhsosha.state.or.us>
Subject: FW: You state herein it was September OHPB meeting. I'm assuming that's incorrect, that you meant to say August. Or was the OHA report also addressed in Sept.?

Think twice before clicking on links or opening attachments. This email came from outside our organization and might not be safe. If you are not expecting an attachment, contact the sender before opening it.

Hi Jonathan, Tara, and Jeremy,

While I thought it was the Sept meeting, I suspect he's right. If so, I plan to simply say the below.

Feel free to call if you want to discuss 5034079896

David

Dear Mr. Forbes,

You are correct that it was the August rather than the September meeting.

Best regards,

David

David Bangsberg, MD, MPH

Founding Dean

Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health

Mailcode VPT

3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road | Portland OR 97239

5034079896 | Email: bangsber@ohsu.edu

Skype: bangsberg | Twitter: @DavidBangsberg

Gender pronouns: he, his, him

From: "ddanforbes@aol.com" <ddanforbes@aol.com>

Reply-To: "ddanforbes@aol.com" <ddanforbes@aol.com>

Date: Thursday, October 14, 2021 at 2:39 PM

To: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] You state herein it was September OHPB meeting. I'm assuming that's incorrect, that you meant to say August. Or was the OHA report also addressed in Sept.?

Hi again, Dr. Bangsberg:

Finishing up my article. Below you refer to the September OHPB meeting. I'm assuming that's incorrect, and that you meant to say the August OHPB meeting when you/OHA issued your joint statement. Please clarify.

Or was the OHA wireless risk report also addressed at the OHPB September meeting? If so, at what hour/minute mark of the September video record of the meeting?

Also, perforce, my article will note that you decline to say who you discussed this matter with at OHA, aside from saying it was folks in the Public Health Division. **Can you name them, please.**

You've previously declined to answer **questions # 3 & 4** below. The first concerned OHA's significant change in its SB 283 report from a lack-of-sufficient-evidence finding to the agency's current stance that *"While the available data do not prove a causal effect [of harm], neither do they exclude the possibility of a causal effect."* Why was that significant change effectively buried on OHPB's website? Why did OHA not make this significant change known with a press release on its main website?

And question # 4 below, is that new statement on OHA's part reason alone enough to prompt the retraction and revision of the OHA wireless risk report?

You probably won't answer those, since you haven't before. But I hope you do. Your choice reflects your stance towards transparency as the founding dean of a public health school and chair of a state oversight board. Readers might find your potential silence on the three questions reiterated above (the OHA staff you partnered with and questions # 3 & 4 below) a surprising choice for a man with, as you say, your "experience as a public health professional."

But please do clear up the confusion about your comments occurring potentially at the September OHPB meeting in addition to your comments in August. If there were comments in September, please indicate the time-mark in the meeting.

Thanks,

Daniel Forbes
The Washington Spectator

-----Original Message-----

From: ddanforbes@aol.com

To: bangsber@ohsu.edu <bangsber@ohsu.edu>

Sent: Wed, Oct 6, 2021 12:11 pm

Subject: Re: your reply re OHPB/OHA's statement revising OHA's prior lack of "sufficient evidence" finding.

Hi Dr. Bangsberg:

Thanks for getting back to me. You correctly refer to your "experience as a public health professional" -- impressive experience indeed that my article will laud.

So, to reiterate, can you name, please, the OHA staff who you consulted with on this matter.

Thanks again,

Daniel Forbes
The Washington Spectator
503-477-8888 (Not text enabled.)

-----Original Message-----

From: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>

To: ddanforbes@aol.com <ddanforbes@aol.com>

Sent: Wed, Oct 6, 2021 11:44 am

Subject: Re: Re OHPB/OHA's statement revising OHA's prior lack of "sufficient evidence" finding. OHA now states it cant "exclude the possibility of a causal effect" of harm from RFR.

Dear Mr. Forbes,

I received your note in response to my comments made during the September Oregon Health Policy Board meeting. My comments were technical clarifications to the OHA report on wireless radiation based on my commitment to do due diligence review all public testimony with the co-Chair Oscar Arana, OHA staff as Chair of the OHPB and based my experience as a public health professional.

As Chair of OHPB and a public health professional, I have advised OHA to focus on what I believe are more pressing priorities for Oregonians which include: COVID response, Cost Growth Target Containment, the 1115 Medicaid Waiver, the Health Care Market Oversight Program and ending health disparities in Oregon by 2030. I believe that these are the most important health priorities for Oregonians and should be the focus of the OHPB, OHA and associated resources.

Regards,

David Bangsberg

David Bangsberg, MD, MPH

Founding Dean

Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health

Mailcode VPT

3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road | Portland OR 97239

5034079896 | Email: bangsber@ohsu.edu

Skype: bangsberg | Twitter: @DavidBangsberg

Gender pronouns: he, his, him

From: "ddanforbes@aol.com" <ddanforbes@aol.com>

Reply-To: "ddanforbes@aol.com" <ddanforbes@aol.com>

Date: Monday, September 27, 2021 at 2:56 PM

To: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>

Cc: "ddanforbes@aol.com" <ddanforbes@aol.com>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re OHPB/OHA's statement revising OHA's prior lack of "sufficient evidence" finding. OHA now states it cant "exclude the possibility of a causal effect" of harm from RFR.

Good Afternoon, Dr. Bangsberg:

I trust this finds you well. I was delaying my follow-up article on the response here in Oregon to the Oregon Health Authority Wireless risk in schools report issued last New Year's Eve until Sen. Michael Dembrow conducted his state senate hearing on the matter, scheduled for last Wednesday, 9/22/21. As you know, that and all other special legislative hearings were postponed. Sen. Dembrow indicates he may reschedule in November.

Some grist for my mill was afforded by the July and August meetings of the Oregon Health Policy Board -- the legally mandated oversight body for OHA which you chair. In fact, the joint [Bangsberg/OHA statement](#) that you crafted "in partnership" with OHA and that you read aloud on August 3 and issued -- if one knows where to look -- on the OHPB website that day, constitute, far as I'm aware, OHA's sole public statement on the 100-page report it published last December.

I write today to seek your comment on a significant element of the statement you and OHA released in response to public comment on the OHA report at the July OHPB meeting. In that August 3 statement, you and OHA -- the state of Oregon, in effect -- declared in regard to Radiofrequency Radiation (RFR) that, *"While the available data do not prove a causal effect [of harm], neither do they exclude the possibility of a causal effect."*

That's quite a departure for OHA to state formally that the state's children may be at increased risk of adverse health effects from RFR in schools. I say that given that its legislatively mandated risk assessment contained the finding in its Executive Summary that OHA lacked "sufficient evidence to conclude that RFR exposure in school settings is associated with adverse health effects."

So, yes, a significant change to go from the evidence is lacking to "the available data do not ... exclude the possibility of a causal effect" of harm.

QUESTION # 1. I try to eschew assumption. So let me ask you to describe your role in the insertion of this significant change in the statement you and OHA released in August. It seems without your involvement, OHA would not have made such a statement, correct?

QUESTION # 2. Who did you consult with at OHA regarding this statement, the specific individuals who you specifically did not name in Augusts?

No insult to OHPB, but the joint statement was released solely on its website, buried in the entry for the August 3 meeting, and then buried among the dozen documents referenced. Should OHPB have sought attention to the matter, it could have done so. Similarly, should OHA have desired to make known the significant change in its findings on wireless risk, it has a robust press operation with easily accessible digital content.

QUESTION # 3. Why is this significant change in the state's findings on wireless risk not made known; why is it, in effect, buried? Don't the parents of Oregon schoolkids deserve to know of this revised risk assessment?

QUESTION # 4. Is this statement on OHA's part reason alone enough to prompt the retraction and revision of the OHA report?

(One prominent critic of the report my pending article will quote said simply, "The statement certainly would lead unbiased, reasonable experts to seek a radical revision and retraction of that report. Period.")

The two-page OHA statement uses the phrase, "school[s] or similar environments" twice; "school[s] setting" twice; and "school environments" once.

QUESTION # 5. Given that OHA now formally, publically states that the possibility of a causal effect of harm from RFR in a school setting can not be excluded, is it incumbent upon the legislature to provide funds for OHA to arrive at a conclusive finding? (As you know, given your question to OHA's Jeremy Vandehey at the August 3 OHPB meeting and his response, as of now, OHA considers the matter closed.)

The 2019 law mandating the OHA report ([SB 283](#)) requires Oregon's Department of Education to "develop recommendations ... for practices and alternative technologies that would reduce students' exposure to microwave radiation that" OHA "identifies as harmful."

QUESTION # 6: Now that OHA has said, in effect, that it can not, at this time, actually rate the risk of harm from RFR in schools, what action on the part of both the Dept. of Education and OHA does that provision of state law require?

QUESTION # 7: Does this formal statement by the state public health agency expose Oregon to potential legal and financial liability from current or former students experiencing adverse health effects -- perhaps, in the case of cancer, many years down the road?

Dr. Bangsberg, I will be discussing your actions in my article. I look forward to getting you on the phone at your convenience to obtain verbally your replies to these questions. Barring that, emailed replies penned by you will suffice. What I'm obviously rejecting as inadequate are anodyne replies of limited utility from the OHA press office. You, sir, are the chair of a legally mandated oversight board for a state agency -- and an ex-Harvard professor and the founding dean of the OHSU-PSU School of Public Health to boot. I would hope, given your oversight role, you will speak for yourself.

Many thanks,

Daniel Forbes
The Washington Spectator
503-477-8888 (Not text enabled.)

From: [David Bangsberg](#)
To: [CHETOCK Tara A](#); [Modie Jonathan N](#); [Vandehey Jeremy](#)
Subject: FW: NEW - public records request from Daniel Forbes re Dr. David Bangsberg
Date: Monday, October 18, 2021 11:50:47 AM

Think twice before clicking on links or opening attachments. This email came from outside our organization and might not be safe. If you are not expecting an attachment, contact the sender before opening it.

FYI – PSU received the same. I’ve asked offices at OHSU and PSU to work together to assemble.

David Bangsberg, MD, MPH
Founding Dean
Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health
Mailcode VPT
3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road | Portland OR 97239
5034079896 | Email: bangsber@ohsu.edu
Skype: bangsberg | Twitter: @DavidBangsberg
Gender pronouns: he, his, him

From: Reba Kuske <kusker@ohsu.edu>
Date: Monday, October 18, 2021 at 10:53 AM
To: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>
Subject: NEW - public records request from Daniel Forbes re Dr. David Bangsberg

Good morning, Dr. Bangsberg,

OHSU received a public records request from Daniel Forbes, a journalist with *The Washington Square*, requesting copies of “all emails, letters and other communication between Dr. Bangsberg and any staffer of the Oregon Health Authority between Dec. 30, 2020 to Oct. 15, 2021 that contain the keywords: “SB 283” or “wireless” or “radiation” or “school.”

Our responsive procedure will include asking ITG for a time estimate to run a search over your current and archived email accounts using requested key words “SB 283” or “wireless” or “radiation” or “school” for the time period 12/ This time estimate. This time estimate will be pre-billed to the requester. Should the requester agree to pay the prepayment fee, we will then proceed with an email search. Please note, no emails will be released without the review and approval by both you and Legal.

We will keep you posted concerning this request. Should you have any questions or concerns, please let me know.

Thank you,

Reba Kuske
OHSU Public Records Coordinator
kusker@ohsu.edu
Cell: 503.577.2029

From: [Modie Jonathan N](#)
To: [David Bangsberg](#); [CHETOCK Tara A](#); [Vandehey Jeremy](#)
Subject: RE: NEW - public records request from Daniel Forbes re Dr. David Bangsberg
Date: Monday, October 18, 2021 12:32:00 PM

Thanks for the heads up, David. I also alerted OHSU comms office (Tamara Hargens-Bradley).

Jonathan

From: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2021 11:50 AM
To: CHETOCK Tara A <Tara.A.CHETOCK@dhsosha.state.or.us>; Modie Jonathan N <JONATHAN.N.MODIE@dhsosha.state.or.us>; Vandehey Jeremy <JEREMY.VANDEHEY@dhsosha.state.or.us>
Subject: FW: NEW - public records request from Daniel Forbes re Dr. David Bangsberg

Think twice before clicking on links or opening attachments. This email came from outside our organization and might not be safe. If you are not expecting an attachment, contact the sender before opening it.

FYI – PSU received the same. I’ve asked offices at OHSU and PSU to work together to assemble.

David Bangsberg, MD, MPH
Founding Dean
Oregon Health & Science University-Portland State University School of Public Health
Mailcode VPT
3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road | Portland OR 97239
5034079896 | Email: bangsber@ohsu.edu
Skype: bangsberg | Twitter: @DavidBangsberg
Gender pronouns: he, his, him

From: Reba Kuske <kusker@ohsu.edu>
Date: Monday, October 18, 2021 at 10:53 AM
To: David Bangsberg <bangsber@ohsu.edu>
Subject: NEW - public records request from Daniel Forbes re Dr. David Bangsberg

Good morning, Dr. Bangsberg,

OHSU received a public records request from Daniel Forbes, a journalist with *The Washington Square*, requesting copies of “all emails, letters and other communication between Dr. Bangsberg and any staffer of the Oregon Health Authority between Dec. 30, 2020 to Oct. 15, 2021 that contain the keywords: “SB 283” or “wireless” or “radiation” or “school.”

Our responsive procedure will include asking ITG for a time estimate to run a search over your current and archived email accounts using requested key words "SB 283" or "wireless" or "radiation" or "school" for the time period 12/ This time estimate. This time estimate will be pre-billed to the requester. Should the requester agree to pay the prepayment fee, we will then proceed with an email search. Please note, no emails will be released without the review and approval by both you and Legal.

We will keep you posted concerning this request. Should you have any questions or concerns, please let me know.

Thank you,

Reba Kuske
OHSU Public Records Coordinator
kusker@ohsu.edu
Cell: 503.577.2029